

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/980,252	02/21/2002		Yasumasa Mizushima	SON-2058/YAM	9700
7590 08/13/2004				EXAMINER	
Ronald P Kan			LANEAU, RONALD		
Rader Fishman Suite 501	& Graue	r	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1233 20th Stree		_	3627		
Washington, D	C 2003	6	DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/980,252	MIZUSHIMA ET A	AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ronald Laneau	3627	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> 3) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	·	e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application	on Papers			
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all are all accomposed and are all are	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawing.	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	• •
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date <u>06172004</u> .	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTC 	O-152)

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 12-27 have been withdrawn from prosecution of this application and claims 1-11 are now pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claim10 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 10, line 2, after "receiving", "sipping" should be written --shipping--

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3627

5. Claims 1, 3, 4-6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al (US 2002/0010658) in view of De Roche (US 6,429,810).

As per claims 1, 3, 4-6, 8 and 9, Suzuki et al teach a concentrated physical distribution management method to be used when consigned cargo is delivered to a destination, wherein said method is processed to be electronic information capable of being mutually communicated by means of communication network lines (page 1, [0002], [0003]), said method comprising: a shipping instruction processing step of instructing delivery of the consigned cargo to the destination collectively including a physical distribution trader by accessing a specific site (page 9, [0145]); a physical distribution expense calculation processing step of calculating physical distribution expenses necessarily for delivery of the cargo to the destination (page 10, [172]).

Suzuki et al do not teach a cargo tracking processing step of indicating a delivery status of the cargo but De Roche teaches an autonomous cargo tracking system comprising of communication and sensor unit affixed to the container to be tracked during shipping, providing the shipper and/or forwarder with accurate, timely, cargo status as claimed (see abs, col. 2, lines 11-14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the cargo tracking system as taught by De Roche into the system of Suzuki et al because it would provide a position sensing and communication (PSC) unit affixed to or integrated into a shipping container, shipping pallet, cargo net, or cargo unit that gives additional information such as environmental conditions, container status, rate and/or direction of movement (page 2, lines 32-40).

Art Unit: 3627

As per claims 2, 7, 10 and 11,Suzuki et al teach a concentrated physical distribution management method to be used when consigned cargo is delivered to a destination, wherein said method is processed to be electronic information capable of being mutually communicated by means of communication network lines (page 1, [0002], [0003]), said method comprising: a shipping instruction processing step of instructing delivery of the consigned cargo to the destination collectively including a physical distribution trader by accessing a specific site (page 9, [0145]); a physical distribution expense calculation processing step of calculating physical distribution expenses necessarily for delivery of the cargo to the destination (page 10, [172]),

Suzuki et al do not teach a cargo transportation route with said shipping instruction on the basis of said shipping instruction information and said transport schedule but De Roche teaches an IBALE system that provides the shipper with all of the requested information, including schedules and transit requirements (e.g., truck, train, ship, and/or airline), said IBALE system can be configured to provide the user with multiple shipping options, preferably prioritized on the basis of cost, schedule, or other shipping characteristic. Alternately, the IBALE system can be configured to provide a single shipping option based on the specific budgetary and schedule constraints input by the shipper (col. 4, lines 59-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the cargo tracking system as taught by De Roche into the system of Suzuki et al because it would make the shipper or the forwarder aware of the route change of the freight if any and expected arrival time of the cargo.

Art Unit: 3627

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

• Inoue et al (US 2002/0138360) teach a distribution support equipment related to an

overall business dealing among customers, plural distributors and suppliers with use of a

computer system through communication network.

• Shiba et al (US 2002/0055883) teach collecting method by information processor, and

ordering method or sale method.

• Yamamura et al (JP02002154618A) teach an IC tag to store information bonded on a

cargo and radio communications using the Blue Tooth technique.

• Nakano teaches a Home business wherein a distribution acceptance server receives

transportation request information from a requester via communication equipment and

the Internet.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (703) 305-3973. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Unda Hourry 8/3/04-Primary-Exominar

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald Laneau Examiner Art Unit 3627

rl